

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/044,516                                       | 01/11/2002    | Kazuki Kawata        | MOR-230-A               | 2840             |
| 75   | 90 09/05/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| Andrew R. Basile                                 |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.                             |               |                      | KASTLER, SCOTT R        |                  |
| Suite 624  | Reaver Road   |                      |                         |                  |
| 3001 West Big Beaver Road<br>Troy, MI 48084-3107 |               | •                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ••   |               |                      | 1742                    |                  |
|  |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |         |
|---|---|---|---|---------|
|   | _   | 10/044,516  | KAWATA ET AL.   | •       |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |         |
|   | •   | Scott Kastler   | 1742  |         |
| Peri d fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address -  | •       |
| A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro<br>s, cause the application to become ABANDOI | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communica NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ition.  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |   |         |
| 2a)□  | ,   | is action is non-final.   |   |         |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under   | ance except for formal matters,   |   | ts is   |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims   |   |   |         |
| -   | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |         |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | wn from consideration.  |   |         |
| 5)□   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |         |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |         |
| 7) 🗌  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   | •   |         |
| <i>,</i> —,   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.  |   |         |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.   | •   |         |
| -   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  acce  |   | kaminer.  |         |
| , ,_  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |   |   |         |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | _ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp   | proved by the Examiner.   |         |
|   | If approved, corrected drawings are required in re  | ply to this Office action.  |   |         |
| 12) 🗌 🗆   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | kaminer.  |   |         |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |   |         |
| 13)⊠  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | (a)-(d) or (f).   |         |
| a)  | ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |   |   |         |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority document  | ts have been received.  |   |         |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority document  | ts have been received in Applica  | ation No  |         |
| ·<br>* §  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio<br>application from the International Bu<br>See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |         |
| 14) 🗌 A   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest  | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | 9(e) (to a provisional applic   | ation). |
|   | )  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest   |   |   |         |
| Attachmen   | t(s)  |   |   |         |
| 2) Notic  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>  | 5) Notice of Informa  | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>al Patent Application (PTO-152)  | _ ·     |
| S Patent and T  | rademark Office   |   |   |         |

Application/Control Number: 10/044,516

Art Unit: 1742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwalm.

Schwalm teaches a carburizing apparatus (figures 1 and 2 for example) which could be employed to perform carburization at the recited gas pressures and compositions (it has been well settled that where the applied prior art apparatus could perform the functions recited in an apparatus claim the actual manner or method of use of an apparatus, such as recited operating temperatures or gas compositions, cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit claims to the apparatus itself, see *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 and MPEP 2114), including a carburizing chamber (4), a gas analysis means (6 and 8 for example, which may include measuring the oxygen or hydrogen (through measurement of H<sub>2</sub>O)content, see col. 3 lines 1-5 or the measurement of thermal conductivity (through measurement of flame temperature, see col. 3 lines 6-11) and including a display of results, and a gas composition adjustment means (14,20) for adjusting the carburizing gas content in response to the results of the gas analysis, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,516

Art Unit: 1742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwalm in view of Waka et al. As applied to claim 5 above, Schwalm shows all aspects of the above claims, including maintaining the carbon monoxide content to below 30% (see example 1 for example) except to specifically maintain the atmosphere at a pressure of between 13 and 4000 Pa. Waka et al teaches, that in a carburizing process, in order to reduce sooting, it was known in the carburization art at the time the invention was made to maintain a carburizing atmosphere at 3 kPa (3000 Pa) (see col. 3 lines 1-10). Because reduction of sooting during carburization would also be recognized as desirable in the process described by Schwalm, motivation to maintain the heat treating atmosphere at a level of between 13 and 4000 Pa, as taught by Waka et al to reduce sooting, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/044,516

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-3050. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk